IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

LORENZO B. DICKERSON, ,]	CIVIL ACTION NO. 0:04-797-10BC
Plaintiff,]	
]	ODDED
-VS-]	<u>ORDER</u>
GALLANT PLACE APARTMENTS, LLC	1	
Defendant.]	
]	

In the underlying action commenced pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983, the plaintiff, Lorenzo B. Dickerson, alleges that he was terminated by the defendant Gallant Place Apartments, LLC because of his race. The defendant denies plaintiff's allegations and has filed motions for summary judgment and partial summary judgment.

Pursuant to Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(g), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey. In his Report and Recommendation, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the defendants amended motion and motion for summary judgment be denied as to plaintiff's § 1981 claim and granted as to plaintiff's claim for relief under § 1983. The plaintiff has not objected to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation.

The applicable standard of review for this Court is clear. The Magistrate Judge makes

only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and

the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. Matthews v. Weber,

423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those

portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1)(C). However, the Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other

standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the Magistrate Judge as to those portions of the

Recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150

(1985). While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends

on whether or not objections have been filed, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject

or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the

matter to him with instructions. Wood v. Schweiker, 537 F. Supp. 660, 661 (D.S.C. 1982).

Upon careful consideration, the Recommendation of the Magistrate

Judge is approved. For the reasons stated by the Magistrate Judge, the defendant's motion

for summary judgment is GRANTED as to plaintiff's cause of action seeking relief pursuant

to 42. U.S.C. § 1983. The defendant's motion for summary judgment is DENIED as to

plaintiff's cause action seeking relief pursuant to 42. U.S.C. § 1981.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/MATTHEW J. PERRY, Jr.

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina

December 6, 2005

-2-